

# DCP 282 Working Group Meeting

05 December 2016 at 2:00pm

Web-conference

Attendee	Company
<b>Working Group Members</b>	
Angus Rae [AR]	SSE Networks
Donna Townsend [DT]	ESP Electricity
Leanne Cavagan [LC]	Northern Powergrid
Mark Burton [MB]	UK Power Networks
Michael Walls [MW]	ESP Electricity
Nicola Dew [ND]	Northern Powergrid
Peter Waymont [PW]	UK Power Networks
Walter Hood [WH]	Scottish Power
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Claire Hynes [CH] (Secretariat)	ElectraLink

Apologies	Company
<b>Working Group Members</b>	
Andrew Sherry	ENWL
Neil Fitzsimons	The Electricity Network Company
Thomas Cadge	The Electricity Network Company

## Welcomes and Apologies

---

The Chairman noted the welcomes for this meeting.

## Administration

---

- 1.1 The Working Group reviewed the “*Working Group Terms of Reference*”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Terms of Reference for the duration of the Working Group.
- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Do’s and Don’ts”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Laws Do’s and Don’ts for the duration of the meeting.

## 2. Review of the DCP 282 Consultation

---

- 2.1 The Working Group walked through and reviewed the comments on the DCP 282 consultation which acts as Attachment 1.
- 2.2 The Working Group agreed to ask a question on whether a change should be raised to the Balancing and Settlement Code (BSC) as part of this change. One member requested that BSCP 1414 be referenced as background to this change.

### **ACTION 02/01: ElectraLink**

- 2.3 Members agreed that the expertise of the consultant attending the Working Group meetings should be listed in the consultation.
- 2.4 It was noted that customers with a mix of NHH and HH settled MPANs will need to submit separate inventories to the DNO covering each method of settlement.
- 2.5 The Working Group discussed whether a sample Bilateral Connection Agreement (BCA) should be provided as part of the consultation. The proposer explained that the intention of this change is not to vary Bilateral Connection Agreements (BCAs). The intent of this change is to codify the entire arrangement such that no further contractual administration is required.
- 2.6 The Working Group agreed that the DNO should not need to check the details of the inventory submitted by the customer but may wish to validate it in terms of formatting and compliance. For example where a numeric change code is to be provided and a code using the alphabet is provided instead then the DNO would be able to pick up on this and request the correct data.
- 2.7 Members considered that there are situations where DNOs charge for connections not on their network (for example Private Networks) but questioned whether they are allowed to formally do this under their licence. The Working Group agreed to ask what needs to be put in place to facilitate this change.

- 2.8 The Working Group discussed how the income received should be treated as part of the distribution price control and whether the DNO is recovering revenue on the behalf of the EDNO. The Working Group agreed to add the below question to the consultation and its response form:

*“The Working Group are interested in Parties views on whether you believe that the DNO is recovering the revenue on behalf of the EDNO?*

*(a) If yes, how should this be dealt with in the price control?*

*(b) If yes, should it be dealt with through inter-distributor billing?”*

#### **ACTION 02/02: ElectraLink**

- 2.9 Members considered that the value of unmetered supplies would continue to grow and that this CPs process may need to be cognisant of what this issue looks like in 5-10 years.
- 2.10 Members agreed that when it comes to the accuracy of data, it is the responsibility of the EDNO to validate the data but some DNO members question how this would work in practice. An example where the DNO had already billed the Supplier and then had to rebill it was discussed. Members noted that EDNOs already have an obligation to have accurate data under their settlement process and to process it in a timely manner under the BSC.
- 2.11 The Working Group agreed to amend the consultation to:
- Add and check attachment numbers are consistent;
  - Check that the questions align with the updated text in the document; and
  - Update the consultation timetable in line with the DCP 282 Work Plan.

#### **ACTION 02/03: ElectraLink**

- 2.12 The Working Group walked through the e-mail commentary provided by members and addressed each point in the attached consultation.

## **Next Steps**

- 1.1 The DCP 282 Working Group agreed the next steps as follows:
- For the Working Group to review a clean version of the DCP 282 Consultation prior to its issue to Parties;
  - The consultation to be issued to parties with responses expected by the 13 January 2017; and the
  - Working Group to meet in January 2017 to consider the consultation responses.

#### **ACTION 02/04: All**

## AOB

---

- 4.1 There were no items of any other business.

## Next Meeting – 27 January 2017

---

- 5.1 The next meeting will be scheduled as a face to face meeting to consider the consultation responses.

## Attachments

---

Attachment 1 – DCP 282 Consultation\_Red-Lined -05 December 2016

Attachment 2 – DCP 282 Updated Work Plan

## New and open actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
02/01	Reference BSCP 1414 in the background section of the consultation and state its intent and its outcome.	ElectraLink	Post Meeting Note: Completed.
02/02	<p>Add the question <i>“The Working Group are interested in Parties views on whether you believe that the DNO is recovering the revenue on behalf of the EDNO?</i></p> <p><i>(a) If yes, how should this be dealt with in the price control?</i></p> <p><i>(b) If yes, should it be dealt with through inter-distributor billing?”</i></p> <p>to the consultation and response form</p>	ElectraLink	Post Meeting Note: Completed.
02/03	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add and check attachment numbers are consistent;</li> <li>• Check that the questions align with the updated text in the document; and</li> </ul>	ElectraLink	Post Meeting Note: Completed.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update the consultation timetable in line with the DCP 282 Work Plan.</li> </ul>		
<b>02/04</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For the Working Group to review a clean version of the DCP 282 Consultation prior to its issue to Parties;</li> <li>The consultation to be issued to parties with responses expected by the 13 January 2017; and the</li> <li>Working Group to meet in January 2017 to consider the consultation responses.</li> </ul>	ElectraLink	

## Closed actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
<b>01/01</b>	Add the questions identified in the issues log to the consultation.	ElectraLink	Completed
<b>02/02</b>	Aid the secretariat in locating previous work on this subject for reference in the consultation.	Donna Townsend	Completed.
<b>01/03</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Draft the DCP 282 consultation and circulate to</li> </ul>	All	Completed.

	<p>the Working Group for review;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Working Group to review the consultation for a period of one week</li> <li>• Submit the DCP 282 consultation to parties; and</li> <li>• Working Group to meet in January 2017 to consider the consultation responses.</li> </ul>		<p>Completed.</p> <p>Closed.</p> <p>Closed.</p>
--	--	--	---